

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/671,346 09/24/2003 | | Mohammad Jaber Borran | 873.0119.U1(US) | 7074 | |
| 29683 | 7590 06/28/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP 4 RESEARCH DRIVE | | | BURD, KEVIN MICHAEL | | |
| SHELTON, CT 06484-6212 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| • | | | 2631 | | |

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | u k | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | Applicatio | n No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | | 10/671,346 | 3 | BORRAN ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Kevin M. B | urd | 2631 | | | | |
| Dorind f | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | pears on the | cover sheet with the co | orrespondence ad | ldress | | | |
| | or Reply | VIC CET TO | SEVELEE & MONTH | | | | | |
| THE - Extended - If th - If No - Fail Any | HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no ever ly within the statut will apply and will e, cause the applic | nt, however, may a reply be time cory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cation to become ABANDONEC | ely filed will be considered timel he mailing date of this c) (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ly. ommunication. | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/7/2005. | | | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowar | nce except f | or formal matters, pro | secution as to the | e merits is | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under E | Ex parte Qua | ıyle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposi | tion of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)🛛 | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>13-22,34 and 35</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>1-12,23-33 and 36-40</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7)[_ | | | | | | | | |
| 8)[_] | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election re | quirement. | | | | | |
| Applicat | tion Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10) | 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 44\ | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| اـــا(۱۱ | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | xamıner. Not | e the attached Office | Action or form P1 | ГО-152. | | | |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | d in this National | Stage | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2 2 2 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | | | |
| 3) 🔲 Infor | rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date | | 5) Notice of Informal Pa | | O-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/671,346 Page 2

Art Unit: 2631

1. This office action, in response to the amendment filed 4/7/2005, is a non-final rejection.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments on pages 8-12 filed 4/7/2005 with respect to claims 1-32 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections have been withdrawn. New rejections of the claims are as follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 1-12 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1-12 are non-functional descriptive material.

Descriptive material can be characterized as either "functional descriptive material" or "nonfunctional descriptive material." In this context, "functional descriptive material" consists of data structures and computer programs which impart functionality when employed as a computer component. (The definition of "data structure" is "a physical or logical relationship among data elements, designed to support specific data manipulation functions." The New IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms 308 (5th ed. 1993).) "Nonfunctional descriptive material" includes but

Art Unit: 2631

is not limited to music, literary works and a compilation or mere arrangement of data. Since no functionality is imparted to the constellation points of these claims, these claims are rejected.

When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (claim to data structure stored on a computer readable medium that increases computer efficiency held statutory) and Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360-61, 31 USPQ2d at 1759 (claim to computer having a specific data structure stored in memory held statutory product-by-process claim) with Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361. 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory). When nonfunctional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium, it is not statutory since no requisite functionality is present to satisfy the practical application requirement. Merely claiming nonfunctional descriptive material stored in a computerreadable medium does not make it statutory. Even though the claims contain language that the constellations are embodied on a storage medium, this is not sufficient to make the material statutory.

Data structures not claimed as embodied in computer-readable media are descriptive material per se and are not statutory because they are not capable of causing functional change in the computer. See, e.g., Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory). Such claimed

Page 4

Art Unit: 2631

data structures do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the data structure and other claimed aspects of the invention which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized. In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a data structure defines structural and functional interrelationships between the data structure and the computer software and hardware components which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. Therefore, adding the phrase "A data structure representative of..." to the beginning of claim 1 would not be sufficient to overcome this rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 23-32 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

A single means claim, i.e., where a means recitation does not appear in combination with another recited element of means, is subject to an undue breadth rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. In re Hyatt, 708 F.2d 712, 714-715, 218 USPQ 195, 197 (Fed. Cir. 1983) (A single means claim which covered every

Art Unit: 2631

conceivable means for achieving the stated purpose was held nonenabling for the scope of the claim because the specification disclosed at most only those means known to the inventor.). When claims depend on a recited property, a fact situation comparable to Hyatt is possible, where the claim covers every conceivable structure (means) for achieving the stated property (result) while the specification discloses at most only those known to the inventor.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 23-32 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by de Couasnon et al (US 5,329,552).

Regarding claims 23, 25-31 and 36, de Couasnon discloses a wireless communication system network element shown in figure 1. This element comprises a storage means for storing a digital representation of constellation points (column 3, lines 1-4 and column 4, lines 56-63). This memory can store any constellation points.

Application/Control Number: 10/671,346 Page 6

Art Unit: 2631

Regarding claim 24, digital words will be modulated and transmitted from antenna 12 in figure 1 (column 3, lines 1-31).

Regarding claim 32, de Couasnon discloses "the number of points making up a constellation has a direct influence on the throughput of the pathway and is chosen as a function of the signal-to-noise ratio and of the distortion of the pathway. The transmitter must receive a signal to measure the signal-to-noise ratio and to select the constellation.

6. Claims 37-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lozano (US 2003/0076797).

Regarding claims 37-40, Lozano discloses a receiver comprising an antenna for receiving a wireless signal as shown in figure 1. The analog signal is sampled and processed by digital processors and stored in memories (paragraph 0010). The signal is transmitted via a constellation. The constellation is determined according to a rate and is determined by a rate controller (paragraphs 0016 and 0019). The rate controller can fit this data to any constellation that is appropriate as dictated by the rate.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 13-22, 34 and 35 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/671,346

Art Unit: 2631

Conclusion

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin M. Burd whose telephone number is (571) 272-3008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 9 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KEVIN BURD PRIMARY EXAMINER